

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/622,323	07/17/2003		Sze Tan	6033-019	4328	
7590 09/22/2005				EXAMINER		
John F. Schipper, Esq. Suite 808				ROSENBERGE	R, RICHARD A	
	111 N. Market Street		RECEIVED	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA 95113		OIPE/IAP	2877			
			OCT 9 0 2005	DATE MAII ED: 09/22/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

()	
V	_

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	0574.4.	10/622,323	TAN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Richard A. Rosenberge		
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply	ppears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence a	ddress
WHICH - Extension - after SIX - If NO period - Failure (Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statingly received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma and will apply and will expire SIX (6) tute, cause the application to become	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this BE ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. 6 133)	
Status				
1)□ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on			
		nis action is non-final.		
3)□ S	ince this application is in condition for allow		natters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is
	osed in accordance with the practice unde			
Dispositio	n of Claims			
5)⊠ C 6)⊠ C 7)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd laim(s) <u>29-46,48 and 49</u> is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>1-4 and 47</u> is/are rejected. laim(s) <u>5-28</u> is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		·
Application	n Papers			
10)∐ Th Aj R	te specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ a pplicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrule oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected ne drawing(s) be held in abe ection is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docume Certified copies of the priority docume Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bures the attached detailed Office action for a life	nts have been received. nts have been received i iority documents have be eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this Nationa	l Stage
Attachment(s)				
	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Cher:				O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/622,323

Art Unit: 2877

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-4 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orr et al (US 2003/0189711).

As in claims 1 and 4, and 47, Orr et al teaches, one page 10, column 1, lines 1-9, a technique that included smoothing the oscillatory part of the ringdown decay with a low-pass filter, noting that such smoothing can contribute rapidly and accurately deriving the ringdown time. Orr does not teach the claimed bandwidth characteristic of the low-pass filter used to smooth the signal; leaving the selection of the particular filter with particular filter characteristics suitable for the smoothing to the ordinary skill of those in the art. The instant specification, in the paragraph bridging page 6, presents the choice of filter characteristics as the kind of engineering tradeoff commonly made in all the engineering arts, balancing the undesired effects of having a bandwidth that is too high against the undesired effects of having it too low. Thus it appears from the instant specification that the claimed bandwidth, although characterized in a manner not used in the cited art, nevertheless is within the range of filters which those, in choosing a filter for the system of Orr et al, using ordinary skill and ordinary engineering design practice, would choose.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,323

Art Unit: 2877

Orr et la noted (page 6, column 2, in paragraph [0104], that it is known to use an A/D converter to convert a detected signal into a corm suitable for analysis. While the reference does not specifically teach that the A/D converter is operated to sample the signal uniformly over time, this is the most usual and standard manner of operating an A/D converter and is such a well-known manner of operating an A/D converter that official notice is sufficient.

Orr et al notes that the system can use "computer fitting" (page 10, column 2, line 9), which at least clearly suggests :suing a curve fitting method" as claimed.

As in claims 2 and 3, both analog and digital filters, and their use to filter signals, is so well known official notice is sufficient.

3. The art of record does not teach or suggest the claimed estimating the ringdown time by averaging the time separation of data points which differ in value by a predetermined ratio; thus claim 5 and the claims dependent therefrom (6-8, and 15-28), and claim 10, and claims dependent therefrom (11-12) contain allowable subject matter, as do claims 29 and 39, and claims 30-38 and 40-46 as dependent therefrom, and claims 48 and 48.

The art does not teach or suggest searching for a trigger data points in the manner of claim 9 and claims dependent therefrom (claims 10-14), which therefor contain allowable subject matter.

Thus Claims 29-46 and 48-49 are allowable and claims 5-28 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of their respective parent claims.

Art Unit: 2877

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard A Rosenberger whose telephone number is (571) 272-2428. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during the hours of 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. A. Rosenberger 19 September 2005

Richard A. Rosenberger Primary Examiner

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/622,323 Examiner Richard A. Rosenberger Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination TAN ET AL. Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-2003/0189711	10-2003	Orr et al.	356/484
	В	US-			
	U	US-			
•	D	US-			
	Ε	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Ξ	US-			<u> </u>
	ı	US-			
	j	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
•	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0		·			
	Р					
	α					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*	ļ	Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)			
	U				
	V				
	w				
	х				

A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Organization Bldg./Room Organization Bldg./Room Organization

P.O. Box 1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

Official Business Penalty For Private Use, \$300

PERSONALE MED BERGE COSA